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The "WorldatWork 2020-2021 Salary Budget Survey" consists of two components: this "Executive Report \& Analysis" and the customizable "Online Reporting Tool." The "Executive Report \& Analysis" includes an executive summary and data highlights for the United States, Canada and 17 other countries. A list of definitions of terms in the survey are printed in this book. The list of participating organizations and a copy of the complete questionnaire are available in the "Online Reporting Tool."

More detailed U.S. and Canadian results from the salary budget survey are available through the "Online Reporting Tool" for no additional charge, giving users the ability to customize reports by geographic region, industry, state and other ways that are relevant to organizations. Users may run an unlimited number of reports during the subscription period, as well as save or print the reports.

## Get Started Now

Go to worldatwork.org/salarybudgetsurvey and log in with your eight-digit identification number and password. If you do not know your login information, you may:

- Click "Get" under "Password."
- Look on the mailing panel of any WorldatWork catalog, periodical, invoice or receipt.
- Contact WorldatWork Customer Relationship Services by calling 877-951-9191 or 480-922-2020, or emailing customerrelations@worldatwork.org.

After you have logged in, select "My Profile," then select the "2020-2021 Salary Budget Survey" subscription. After reviewing and accepting the terms and conditions, you will be redirected to the "Online Reporting Tool."
【 Choose the type(s) of data to be included in the report (e.g., salary budget increases, salary structure adjustments, promotions and/or variable pay).

- Choose one statistical method of calculation. Separate reports need to be run to compare various statistics (e.g., mean/average, median $/ 50^{\text {th }}$ percentile, $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile or $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile).
- Choose the layers that define the demographic slice of data (e.g., country, industry, number of employees, revenue).
- Select the regions, states, provinces and/or major metropolitan areas of interest.
\| Click "Generate Report."

If the report meets your needs, click "print to PDF" or "download to Excel" in the top right-hand corner to save or print. To look at different or additional data, repeat the steps as needed.

Though users have access to unlimited customized online reports, the "Online Reporting Tool" is subscription-based. Remember to run and download/print any reports that may be needed prior to the subscription's expiration.

## CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

To ensure the anonymity and protection of participating organizations, WorldatWork does not publish or otherwise make available data points in which fewer than five survey participants responded. In addition, the data are not presented in a way, nor are they intended, to provide a competitive advantage for any participating organization.

Although WorldatWork believes participant responses to the survey are honest and complete, the data presented in this report are provided without warranty of any kind for accuracy, omission, completion or timeliness.

Except for the purposes intended by this publication, participants and purchasers of the salary budget survey may not reproduce, display, rent, lend, resell, commercially exploit, adapt or redistribute the data contained herein without the permission of WorldatWork.

The data presented in this report were collected in June 2020 for publication in August 2020, a two-month duration between data collection and publication.

## METHODOLOGY

On May 27, 2020, all WorldatWork members were invited to participate in the "WorldatWork 2020-2021 Salary Budget Survey" through direct email, e-newsletters and the WorldatWork website. Members were asked to respond for the United States (U.S.), Canada and 17 other countries: Australia, Belgium, Brazil, China, France, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Netherlands, Russia, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom (UK). Respondents were asked to respond for any of these countries in which they have operations. The survey officially closed on June 26, 2020. If an organization reported fewer than 10 employees in a specific country, the response for that country was removed from the data set. Also, duplicate submissions for the same country within the same organization were eliminated from the data set. The final data contain 4,754 responses, covering nearly 14 million employees worldwide. Each country was analyzed separately by statistical software, and a full list of organizations that responded to the survey can be found in the Online Reporting Tool.
Data for all countries are broken down by type of increase and employee category. Additional breakdowns are available for the United States and Canada. Because of small sample size, only high-level data are reported for countries outside the United States and Canada.
U.S. data are broken into four employment categories, with exemption status as defined by the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA):

- Nonexempt hourly nonunion
- Nonexempt salaried
- Exempt salaried
- Officers/executives.

All non-U.S. data are broken into four employment categories:

- Nonmanagement hourly nonunion
- Nonmanagement salaried
- Management salaried
- Officers/executives.

Survey instructions and post-survey data cleaning and verification help ensure accurate recording of a "zero-percent" response versus a response that has been left blank. A response of zero percent to any given question was interpreted (and verified when possible) as a conscious decision on the part of the organization to not budget for an increase that typically was given. Survey instructions specifically ask respondents to leave a questionnaire item blank if the organization either does not have that plan item, or does not typically budget or pay out for that item based on the
plan. Thus, a zero-percent response reflects a decision to specifically not budget funds for the period in question. As a result of feedback from survey users, this report includes total salary budget increases by employee category with and without zero-percent responses for each country, as indicated in Figures 2 (page 20), C2 (page 51), and G2B (page 72-74).

Not all organizations provide every type of base pay increase, and not every organization reports data for every employee category. In findings for which a composite number of all types of increases or all employee categories are presented, the $n$ 's equate to the total number of responses. This may include multiple responses from each respondent if the respondent is reporting for more than one type of increase or employee category.

The frequencies or response distributions listed in the report show the number of times or percent of times a value appears in a data set. Due to rounding, frequencies of data responses provided in this survey may not total 100 percent.

FIGURE A Total Number of Responses

|  | 2018-2019 | 2019-2020 | 2020-2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 1,908 | 1,919 | 1,702 |
| Canada | 444 | 483 | 458 |
| United Kingdom | 256 | 294 | 286 |
| China | 192 | 231 | 206 |
| Germany | 193 | 218 | 188 |
| India | 179 | 217 | 185 |
| Australia | 170 | 199 | 184 |
| Mexico | 159 | 195 | 181 |
| France | 157 | 203 | 174 |
| Singapore | 165 | 180 | 155 |
| Brazil | 126 | 146 | 145 |
| Japan | 139 | 172 | 141 |
| Italy | 125 | 139 | 133 |
| Netherlands | 134 | 148 | 132 |
| Spain | 125 | 153 | 131 |
| Switzerland | 85 | 119 | 103 |
| Belgium | 94 | 99 | 95 |
| Russia | 71 | 91 | 81 |
| Sweden | 81 | 92 | 74 |
| Total | 4,803 | 5,298 | 4,754 |

FIGURE B U.S. Responses, by Region

| Central | 585 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Eastern | 635 |
| Southern | 622 |
| Western | 544 |

Note: The combined responses in Figures B and $C$ add to greater than the total U.S. and Canadian responses. Some participants answered for multiple regions or nationally; thus, their responses reflect multiple regions.
figure c Canadian Responses, by Province

| Ontario | 306 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 186 |
| British Columbia | 177 |
| Alberta | 158 |
| Manitoba | 92 |
| Saskatchewan | 85 |
| Nova Scotia | 79 |


| New Brunswick | 62 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Newfoundland | 47 |
| Prince Edward Island | 30 |
| Northwest Territories | 21 |
| Yukon | 15 |
| Nunavut | 11 |

## DEMOGRAPHICS

figure d U.S. Responses, by State

| California | 529 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Texas | 504 |
| Illinois | 446 |
| New York | 436 |
| Florida | 423 |
| Pennsylvania | 403 |
| Georgia | 403 |
| Ohio | 382 |
| North Carolina | 371 |
| Virginia | 366 |
| New Jersey | 358 |
| Colorado | 355 |
| Massachusetts | 353 |
| Minnesota | 346 |
| Arizona | 343 |
| Michigan | 342 |
| Tennessee | 341 |


| Washington | 340 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Indiana | 328 |
| Wisconsin | 322 |
| Maryland | 317 |
| South Carolina | 311 |
| Missouri | 300 |
| Alabama | 298 |
| Oregon | 281 |
| Louisiana | 279 |
| Connecticut | 277 |
| Utah | 269 |
| Kentucky | 265 |
| Oklahoma | 264 |
| Kansas | 261 |
| lowa | 255 |
| Arkansas | 253 |
| Nevada | 242 |


| Mississippi | 242 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nebraska | 242 |
| Idaho | 220 |
| Maine | 213 |
| New Hampshire | 212 |
| New Mexico | 212 |
| West Virginia | 205 |
| Rhode Island | 204 |
| Delaware | 199 |
| South Dakota | 184 |
| North Dakota | 184 |
| Montana | 176 |
| Vermont | 175 |
| Hawaii | 164 |
| Wyoming | 150 |
| Alaska |  |

figure e U.S. Responses, by Major Metropolitan Area

| Washington, D.C. | 317 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Chicago | 209 |
| Houston | 154 |
| Dallas | 152 |
| Los Angeles | 152 |
| New York | 152 |
| Atlanta | 147 |
| San Francisco | 142 |
| Boston | 134 |


| Denver | 131 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Seattle | 116 |
| San Diego | 115 |
| Phoenix | 114 |
| Minneapolis | 107 |
| Philadelphia | 101 |
| Miami | 98 |
| Tampa | 96 |
| Pittsburgh | 89 |


| Portland | 87 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cincinnati | 87 |
| San Jose | 86 |
| St. Louis | 80 |
| Baltimore | 77 |
| Cleveland | 68 |
| Detroit | 67 |

figure fanadian Responses, by Major Metropolitan Area

| Toronto | 176 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Montreal | 119 |
| Vancouver | 113 |
| Calgary | 95 |
| Edmonton | 72 |


| Ottawa | 69 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Quebec | 57 |
| Winnipeg | 46 |
| Hamilton | 39 |

FIGURE G $\begin{aligned} & \text { U.S. Responses, by } \\ & \text { Organization Size }\end{aligned}$ Organization Size

| $1-499$ | 211 | $12 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $500-2,499$ | 474 | $28 \%$ |
| $2,500-9,999$ | 527 | $31 \%$ |
| $10,000-19,999$ | 214 | $13 \%$ |
| $20,000+$ | 276 | $16 \%$ |

figure h Canadian Responses, by Organization Size

| $1-499$ | 28 | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $500-2,499$ | 90 | $20 \%$ |
| $2,500-9,999$ | 158 | $35 \%$ |
| $10,000-19,999$ | 79 | $17 \%$ |
| $20,000+$ | 103 | $22 \%$ |

FIGURE I U.S. Responses, by 2019 Revenue

| Up to $\$ 30$ million | 96 | $6 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More than $\$ 30$ million to $\$ 100$ million | 76 | $5 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 300$ million | 169 | $10 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 300$ million to $\$ 600$ million | 159 | $10 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 600$ million to $\$ 1$ billion | 156 | $9 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 3$ billion | 409 | $25 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 5$ billion | 185 | $11 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 5$ billion to $\$ 8$ billion | 114 | $7 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 8$ billion to $\$ 10$ billion | 58 | $4 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 10$ billion | 234 | $14 \%$ |

FIGURE J Canadian Responses, by 2019 Revenue (Reported in U.S. Dollars)

| Up to $\$ 30$ million | 14 | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| More than $\$ 30$ million to $\$ 100$ million | 13 | $3 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 300$ million | 27 | $6 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 300$ million to $\$ 600$ million | 37 | $8 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 600$ million to $\$ 1$ billion | 32 | $7 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 3$ billion | 122 | $27 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 5$ billion | 64 | $14 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 5$ billion to $\$ 8$ billion | 41 | $9 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 8$ billion to $\$ 10$ billion | 20 | $4 \%$ |
| More than $\$ 10$ billion | 77 | $17 \%$ |

## INDUSTRY DEMOGRAPHICS

Industry data for both the United States and Canada are based on participant self-reported codes using the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS). The two- and threedigit codes selected for use with the 2020-2021 data set are presented in Figures $K$ and $L$ for the United States and Canada, respectively. Full definitions for these industry categories can be found at the NAICS website (www.census.gov/eos/www/naics). All major industry codes (two-digit) were used regardless of total sample size, and some industry subsets (three-digit) were broken out because of sufficiently large sample size.

The one exception to the NAICS codes is Telecommunications (code 517), which resides as a subset of Information (code 51) in the NAICS. Because of the large sample size ( $n=22$ United States and $n=8$ Canada) and for ease of reader use, Telecommunications was placed into its own category for the 2020-2021 report.

The main industry categories report data for all respondents within the category, regardless of whether they are reported in a subcategory. Therefore, the sum of all subcategories may not equal the main industry category's sample size.

## FIGURE K U.S. Responses, by Industry Classifications

| NAICS | Industry | Frequency | Percent of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | Accommodation and Food Services | 23 | 1.4\% |
| 56 | Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 23 | 1.4\% |
| 11 | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | 13 | 0.8\% |
| 71 | Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 8 | 0.5\% |
| 23 | Construction | 28 | 1.6\% |
| 61 | Educational Services | 61 | 3.6\% |
| 52 | Finance and Insurance | 253 | 14.9\% |
| 521 | Monetary Authorities - Central Bank | 42 | 2.5\% |
| 522 | Credit Intermediation and Related Activities | 39 | 2.3\% |
| 525 | Funds, Trusts and Other Financial Vehicles | 15 | 0.9\% |
| 524 | Insurance Carriers and Related Activities | 124 | 7.3\% |
| 523 | Securities, Commodity Contracts and Other Financial Investments | 33 | 1.9\% |
| 62 | Health Care and Social Assistance | 144 | 8.5\% |
| 622 | Hospitals | 99 | 5.8\% |
| 621, 623, 624 | Ambulatory Health Care, Nursing and Residential Care and Social Assistance | 45 | 2.6\% |
| 51 | Information | 70 | 4.1\% |
| 518 | Data Processing, Hosting and Related Services | 15 | 0.9\% |
| 511 | Publishing Industries (except Internet) | 13 | 0.8\% |
| 512, 515, 519 | Motion Picture, Sound Recording, Broadcasting (except Internet) and Other Information Services | 42 | 2.5\% |
| 55 | Management of Companies and Enterprises | 12 | 0.7\% |
| 31 | Manufacturing | 383 | 22.5\% |
| 325 | Chemical Manufacturing | 69 | 4.1\% |
| 334 | Computer and Electronic Product Manufacturing | 40 | 2.4\% |
| 335 | Electrical Equipment, Appliance and Component Manufacturing | 22 | 1.3\% |
| 311, 312 | Food, Beverage and Tobacco Product Manufacturing | 40 | 2.4\% |

FIGURE K U.S. Responses, by Industry Classifications
(continued)

| NAICS | Industry | Frequency | Percent of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 333 | Machinery Manufacturing | 24 | 1.4\% |
| 331, 332 | Metal Manufacturing | 21 | 1.2\% |
| 322, 323 | Paper Manufacturing, Printing and Related Support Activities | 22 | 1.3\% |
| 326 | Plastics and Rubber Products Manufacturing | 10 | 0.6\% |
| 313, 314, 315, 316 | Textile Mills, Apparel, Leather and Allied Product Manufacturing | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 336 | Transportation Equipment Manufacturing | 33 | 1.9\% |
| $\begin{gathered} 321,324,327, \\ 337,339 \end{gathered}$ | Wood, Petroleum, Furniture and Nonmetallic Mineral Products and Other Miscellaneous Manufacturing | 99 | 5.8\% |
| 21 | Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction | 39 | 2.3\% |
| 54 | Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (includes Consulting) | 224 | 13.2\% |
| 92 | Public Administration | 85 | 5.0\% |
| 53 | Real Estate, Rental and Leasing | 32 | 1.9\% |
| 44 | Retail Trade | 80 | 4.7\% |
| 517 | Telecommunications | 22 | 1.3\% |
| 48 | Transportation and Warehousing | 46 | 2.7\% |
| 481 | Air Transportation | 7 | 0.4\% |
| 482-493 | All Other Transportation | 39 | 2.3\% |
| 22 | Utilities | 92 | 5.4\% |
| 42 | Wholesale Trade | 38 | 2.2\% |
| 81 | Other Services (except Public Administration) | 26 | 1.5\% |
| 813 | Religious, Grantmaking, Civic, Professional and Similar Organizations | 21 | 1.2\% |

FIGURE L Canadian Responses, by Industry Classifications

| NAICS | Industry | Frequency | Percent of Respondents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | Accommodation and Food Services | 8 | 1.7\% |
| 56 | Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 6 | 1.3\% |
| 11 | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 71 | Arts, Entertainment \& Recreation | 0 | 0.0\% |
| 23 | Construction | 10 | 2.2\% |
| 61 | Educational Services | 6 | 1.3\% |
| $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ 522 \end{gathered}$ | Finance and Insurance <br> Credit Intermediation and Related Activities | $48$ $8$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \% \\ 1.7 \% \end{gathered}$ |

## INDUSTRY DEMOGRAPHICS

FIGURE L Canadian Responses, by Industry Classifications (continued)


## U.S. Distribution of Total Salary Budget Increase Responses

## Actual 2019 vs. Actual 2020



## United States



For the first time since 2009, the "WorldatWork 2020-2021 Salary Budget Survey" respondents reported a decline in total salary budget increase rates in the United States, from a 3.2\% average (median: 3.0\%) increase in 2019 to a $2.9 \%$ average (median: 3.0\%) increase in 2020.
(See Figure 1 on page 20.)

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

> The national total salary budget
> increase average fell this year dropping to $2.9 \%$

This decline represents a pronounced departure from the anticipated increase to $3.3 \%$ average (median: 3.0\%) projected for 2020 a year ago. Respondents project that salary budget increases for 2021 will remain steady, matching this year and holding at 2.9\% (median: 3.0\%).

## Sudden Drop in Salary Increase Budgets Driven by the Impact of Global Pandemic

The national total salary budget increase average fell this year - dropping to 2.9\% notably sudden and somewhat expected due to economic uncertainty. The average total salary budget increase grew slowly since an all-time low of 2.2\% average in 2009 following the 2008 recession, then held steady at $3.0 \%$ from 20142017 and then steadily rose again for the past two years, hitting 3.2\% in 2019. Reduction in 2020 budgets for all types of salary increases were reported, with merit budgets experiencing the greatest decrease, down 0.3 percentage point to $2.6 \%$. Merit budgets are projected to slightly rise to $2.7 \%$ in 2021.

Heightened pressure on employers to ensure pay fairness is translating to an increased focus on pay equity analyses and remediation, although the percentage of organizations making adjustments to remediate pay equity issues holds steady compared to last year. Sixty-five percent of responding organizations expect to make pay adjustments in 2020 to remediate pay equity issues, and about the same number are anticipating pay equity adjustments in 2021. (See Figure 12 page 28.)

Contributing to the decline in average salary increase budgets is the significant increase in the percentage of organizations indicating a $0 \%$ salary budget increase for 2020 - nearly 10 times higher than 2019. Meanwhile, respondents typically budgeting in the $3.0 \%-4.0 \%$ mean range declined by 7 to 10 percentage points. (See Figure 4 on page 21.)

The main purpose of the WorldatWork Salary Budget Survey is to ask participating organizations about budgeted or projected salary increases budgets, not what was actually paid. This year due to the global pandemic and quickly changing environmental and economic factors, many organizations may have already prepared their budgets and made payouts, put them on hold or canceled plans to pay out increases in 2020. To better illustrate this, in addition to showing the diluted average salary budget increases (that includes zero increase figures), we have also provided the undiluted average (average for organizations actually budgeting and giving increases). (See Figure 2 on page 20.) In October 2020, a follow-up survey to the "WorldatWork 2020-2021 Salary

> This year due to the global pandemic and quickly changing environmental and economic factors, many organizations may have already prepared their budgets and made payouts, put them on hold or canceled plans to pay out increases in 2020.

Budget Survey" will be conducted to offer insight if budgets have changed during these uncertain times.

## Economic Factors

Economic factors influence salary budgets and reward practices, and the shock of the coronavirus pandemic and shutdown measures to contain it have disrupted the macroeconomic environment. The U.S. rate of inflation drastically dropped for the 12-month period ending June 2020 compared to the same period in 2019. The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Consumer Price Index (CPI) reported inflation at $0.6 \%, 1.0$ percentage point lower than the 12-month period ending May 2019 (1.6\%).
The unemployment rate for the 16-years-andolder labor force saw a spike this year, rising to $11.1 \%$ for the 12-month period ending in June 2020, according to the BLS. (Figure 28 on page 40 puts a 15-year history of salary budget trends into context with the CPI and unemployment.)

> All but one of the industries surveyed share a median salary budget increase of 3.0\%, although industries report means ranging from 1.5\% to 3.4\% for 2020.

## Industry Data

All but one of the industries surveyed share a median salary budget increase of $3.0 \%$, although industries report means ranging from $1.5 \%$ to $3.4 \%$ for 2020. (See Figure 9 on page 26.)

Educational Services has seen the lowest increase for several years with a mean of just $1.5 \%$ (median: 1.0\%) for 2020, a 1.2 percentage point decrease from 2019.

While the majority of industry shifts are downward, Public Administration and Accommodation and Food Services were least impacted this year, showing no change from 2019. Although stable this year, Public Administration is projected to have one of the largest falls in 2021, with the sector anticipating an average salary budget increase of just $2.0 \%$, a 1.2 percentage point decrease from 2020. The Accommodation and Food Services industry, while also reporting unchanged budgets this year, is expecting a decline in salary increase budgets for 2021, down to $2.8 \%$, a 0.2 percentage point drop.

Looking ahead to 2021, industry expectations continue to vary from the national average projection, although medians for most industries have been, and remain, at $3.0 \%$. Interestingly, average projections relative to 2020 vary among industries, with some anticipating increases, some decreases and some no change.

## State Data

In 2020, all states reported a drop from 2019 and the majority of states average a similar $2.7 \%$ to $2.9 \%$ salary budget increase. Medians remained at $3.0 \%$ for all states. In 2021, all states project that average increases to salary budgets will rise slightly, with average increases ranging from 2.9\% to 3.1\% (median: 3.0\%). (See Figure 7 on pages 24.)
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## Major Metropolitan Area Data

Some variance is reported for average salary budget increases among major U.S. metropolitan areas, while medians continue to be firm at $3.0 \%$. Pittsburgh saw the largest decline, falling half a percentage point to $2.6 \%$ in 2020, but projects a strong rebound to $2.9 \%$ in 2021.
Seattle saw the largest average salary increase budget in 2020 at $3.1 \%$ while Denver continues to rise to the top of this list with a $3.0 \%$ salary budget increase, which is still down from 2019
All metropolitan areas' average projections for 2021 are the same or improved relative to 2020 and range from $2.8 \%$ to $3.2 \%$. However, median data still balance 2021 projections at $3.0 \%$ for all surveyed major metropolitan areas.
(See Figure 8 on page 25.)

## Organization Size Data

Consistent with previous years' data, salary budget increases continue to be greater in smaller organizations. This occurrence is more pronounced when size is based on the number of employees rather than revenue. The range of average salary budget increases for 2020 based on number of employees is $2.6 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ and on revenue, $2.7 \%$ to $3.4 \%$. The medians for both number of employees and revenue are 3.0\%. (See Figures 10 and 11 on page 27.)

## Merit Budgets

Merit increases are once again the most prevalent raises, as can be seen in Figure 1 (on page 20). Average merit increase budgets for 2020 were reported at $2.6 \%$ (median: $3.0 \%$ ), representing a 0.3 percentage point drop from 2019. Respondents project a 0.1 percentage point increase in 2021 to $2.7 \%$ average (median: 3.0\%).

## Pay for Performance

Even though the size of all salary increase budgets, including merit budgets, declined in 2020, organizations continue to differentiate base pay-related awards. Organizations averaged a $2.7 \%$ merit increase for middle

> Even though the size of all salary increase budgets, including merit budgets, declined in 2020, organizations continue to differentiate base pay-related awards. Organizations averaged a 2.7\% merit increase for middle performers (median: 2.9\%) and a 4.0\% payout for high performers (median: 4.0\%) in 2019.

performers (median: 2.9\%) and a $4.0 \%$ payout for high performers (median: 4.0\%) in 2019. (See Figure 19 on page 33.) Low performers averaged a $0.8 \%$ increase in the same year, although the median payout was $0.5 \%$. Average performance-based pay increases for 2020 are expected to fall to $2.5 \%$ for middle performers (median: 2.8\%) and 3.6\% (median: 4.0\%) for high performers.

If 2020 merit increase projections are realized, the differentiation between middle and high performers in 2020 will be $44 \%$, a considerable drop from $48 \%$ in 2019, which was slightly higher than 2018. (See Figure 20 on page 34.)

## Salary Structure Adjustments

The reported 2020 overall average salary structure adjustment is $1.9 \%$ (median: 2.0\%), representing a significant shift downward from $2.2 \%$ in 2019 and a decline from the projected
2.2\% (median: 2.0\%). This decrease in average structure movement in 2020 compared to 2019 is affected by the significant increase in the number of organizations reporting no (0\%) salary structure increase. The projection for 2021 is holding steady at $1.9 \%$. (See Figures 23 and 23a on page 36.)

## Timing of Pay Increases

For many years, more than 95\% of organizations have reported that pay increases are awarded on a 12-month cycle. However for 2020, this dropped significantly to only 89\%. Last year, organizations reported that pay increases were awarded on a 12.2 average months cycle. In 2020, the average time between increases across all employee categories lengthened to 12.7 months (median: 12 months), with executive average lengthening to 12.9 months. (See Figure 3 on page 21.)

## Portion of Workforce Receiving Increases

On average, participating organizations reported awarding at least some base salary increase (e.g., general increase/COLA, merit increase) to $84 \%$ of employees in 2020, whereas $90 \%$ of organizations were awarding employees in 2019. The median figures show that most organizations will award pay increases to nearly all employees. (See Figure 17 on page 32.)

## Promotional Increases

The average percentage of employees receiving promotional increases in 2019 slightly declined to $8.4 \%$ (median: $8.0 \%$ ), a reduction of 0.2 percentage point. The size of the average base pay promotional increase also declined 0.2 percentage point to $8.7 \%$ (median: $8.5 \%$ ). (See Figure 17 on page 32.) Planned spending in 2020 on promotional increases as a percentage of total base salaries is consistent with the prior two years hovering around $1.5 \%$. While the majority of organizations expect spending to be the same in 2021, $9 \%$ anticipate spending to be higher. (See Figure 16 on page 32.)


#### Abstract

Variable Pay The percentage of organizations using variable pay is unchanged in 2020, with $84 \%$ indicating the use of these programs. A combination of awards based on both organization/unit success and individual performance continues to be the most prevalent type of variable pay program. Depending on employee category, $82 \%$ to $91 \%$ of employees received variable pay for 2019 performance. (See Figures 29-33 on pages 42-44.)

This year the projected percent paid for variable pay programs was closely aligned to that budgeted. Looking to 2020, the projected percent paid is expected to be less than the average percent budgeted for all employee categories. (See Figure 32 on page 43.)


## Compensation Program Prevalence

The prevalence of several compensation programs used in the past 12 months has declined. While market adjustments have been the most prevalent program used for a number of years, a $6 \%$ drop in utilization was realized in 2020. Meaningful declines in usage for 2020 as compared to 2019 were also reported for employee referral bonuses and paying above market programs, dropping to $69 \%$ and $30 \%$, respectively. Sign-on/hiring bonuses is the most prevalent compensation practice, used by $75 \%$ of organizations.

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 1 Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase

|  | Actual 2018 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| General Increase/COLA | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,057$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \% \\ & \hline 57) \end{aligned}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=966)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=777)$ |  | 1.5\% | 86) | $1.5 \%$ | 73) |
| Merit Increase | $(\mathrm{n}=5,401)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & \text { 401) } \end{aligned}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=5,419)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 419) \end{aligned}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=5,085)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 085) \end{aligned}$ | $(n=4,784)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 784) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.7 \% \\ \quad(n= \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 371) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other Increase | $\begin{array}{r} 0.8 \% \\ (n= \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \% \\ 711) \end{gathered}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=1,661)$ |  | $(n=1,508)$ |  | $(n=1,439)$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.9 \% \\ (n= \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.6 \% \\ & 230) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Increase | $(\mathrm{n}=5,909)$ |  | $(n=5,910)$ |  | $(n=5,538)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=5,179)$ |  | $(n=4,735)$ |  |

Note: "General Increase/COLA," "Merit" and "Other" do not add to the "Total Increase" because not every organization provides all three types of increase. The n's represent the number of responses for each type of increase, which may include multiple responses if each respondent reports for more than one employee category for that type of increase.

FIGURE 2 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category

|  | Salary Budget Increases (zeros included) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2018 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| All | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |


|  | Salary Budget Increases (zeros not included) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2018 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| All | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure $3 \quad$ Number of Months Between Increases

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| Exempt Salaried | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 |
| Officers/Executives | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 |
| All | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |

figure 4 Distribution of Total Salary Budget Increase Responses, Actual 2019 vs. Actual 2020

|  | Zero (0\%) |  | 0.1\%-1.9\% |  | 2.0\%-2.9\% |  | 3.0\%-4.0\% |  | 4.1\%-6.9\% |  | 7.0\%+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 1\% | 9\% | 1\% | 2\% | 19\% | 18\% | 71\% | 64\% | 7\% | 7\% | 1\% | <1\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 2\% | 16\% | 16\% | 76\% | 66\% | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 2\% | 17\% | 17\% | 72\% | 64\% | 8\% | 7\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Officers/Executives | 2\% | 11\% | 1\% | 2\% | 15\% | 16\% | 72\% | 63\% | 8\% | 8\% | 2\% | 1\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 5 Salary Budget Increase Trends

|  | Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | Nonexempt Salaried | Exempt Salaried | Officers/Executives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983 | - | 6.8\% | 6.9\% | 6.9\% |
| 1984 | - | 6.4\% | 6.5\% | 6.8\% |
| 1985 | - | 6.2\% | 6.4\% | 6.7\% |
| 1986 | - | 5.7\% | 5.9\% | 6.3\% |
| 1987 | - | 5.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.5\% |
| 1988 | - | 5.1\% | 5.2\% | 5.6\% |
| 1989 | - | 5.2\% | 5.4\% | 5.7\% |
| 1990 | - | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 5.8\% |
| 1991 | - | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.1\% |
| 1992 | - | 4.6\% | 4.7\% | 4.8\% |
| 1993 | - | 4.2\% | 4.3\% | 4.4\% |
| 1994 | - | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| 1995 | - | 3.9\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| 1996 | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% |
| 1997 | 4.1\% | 4.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.5\% |
| 1998 | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 4.6\% |
| 1999 | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% | 4.5\% |
| 2000 | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.8\% |
| 2001 | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.7\% |
| 2002 | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | 4.0\% |
| 2003 | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% |
| $2004$ | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% |
| 2005 | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.8\% |
| 2006 | 3.7\% | 3.7\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% |
| 2007 | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% |
| 2008 | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.0\% |
| 2009 | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% |
| 2010 | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| 2011 | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |
| 2012 | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% |
| 2013 | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| 2014 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2015 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2016 | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2017 | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2018 | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% |
| 2019 | 3.2\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.3\% |
| 2020 | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| 2021 Projected | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure 6 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Region and Employee Category

|  | Central |  |  |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| All | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |


|  | Southern |  |  |  |  |  | Western |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| All | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |



## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 7 Total Salary Budget Increases, by State

| National | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Alabama | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Alaska | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Arizona | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Arkansas | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| California | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Colorado | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Connecticut | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Delaware | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Florida | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Georgia | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Hawaii | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Idaho | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Illinois | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Indiana | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Iowa | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Kansas | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Kentucky | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Louisiana | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Maine | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Maryland | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Massachusetts | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Michigan | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Minnesota | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Mississippi | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Missouri | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Montana | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Nebraska | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Nevada | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| New Hampshire | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| New Jersey | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| New Mexico | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| New York | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| North Carolina | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| North Dakota | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Ohio | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 7 Total Salary Budget Increases, by State
(continued)

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Oklahoma | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Oregon | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Pennsylvania | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Rhode Island | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| South Carolina | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| South Dakota | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Tennessee | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Texas | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Utah | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Vermont | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Virginia | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Washington | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| West Virginia | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Wisconsin | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Wyoming | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

FIGURE 8 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Metropolitan Area

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| National | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Atlanta | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Baltimore | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Boston | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Chicago | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Cincinnati | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Cleveland | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Dallas | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Denver | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Detroit | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Houston | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Los Angeles | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Miami | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Minneapolis | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 8 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Metropolitan Area (continued)

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| New York | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Philadelphia | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Phoenix | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Pittsburgh | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Portland | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| San Diego | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| San Francisco | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| San Jose | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Seattle | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| St. Louis | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Tampa | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Washington, D.C. | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

FIGURE 9 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Industry Grouping
Summary data are presented this year for all major industries in which data were reported. Detailed information about these industries and additional subindustries can be accessed through the "Online Reporting Tool." See page 6 for details.

| All Industries | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Construction | 3.9\% | 3.3\% | 3.3\% | 3.1\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Services | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Information | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Management of Companies and Enterprises | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Manufacturing | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (includes Consulting) | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 9 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Industry Grouping (continued)

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Public Administration | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Retail Trade | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Telecommunications | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Utilities | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |

FIGURE 10 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Organization Size

| Number of Employees | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 1-499 | 3.7\% | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| 500-2,499 | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2,500-9,999 | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| 10,000-19,999 | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| 20,000+ | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |

FIGURE 11 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Revenue

| 2019 Revenue | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Up to \$30 million | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| More than \$30 million to \$100 million | 3.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 100$ million to $\$ 300$ million | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| More than \$300 million to \$600 million | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 600$ million to $\$ 1$ billion | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 3$ billion | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 5$ billion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 5$ billion to $\$ 8$ billion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 8$ billion to $\$ 10$ billion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 10$ billion | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |

FIGURE 12 Impact of Anticipating Pay Adjustments to Remediate Pay Equity Issues on 2020 Salary Budgets ( $n=1,669$ )

| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustments as part of salary budget but separate from <br> other pay increase budgets | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of merit budget | $8 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of general increase/COLA <br> increase budget | $2 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of other increase budget | $10 \%$ |
| Percent of organizations that do NOT budget for pay equity adjustments | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ |
| Organizations not anticipating pay adjustments to remediate pay equity issues | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ |

figure 12A 2020 Funding When Pay Equity Adjustments Are Not Budgeted ( $n=500$ )

| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the merit budget, even though the merit budget is <br> not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the general increase/COLA increase budget, even <br> though the general increase/COLA budget is not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $2 \%$ |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the other increase budget, even though the other <br> increase budget is not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $25 \%$ |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for with savings (e.g., savings realized from vacant positions, <br> hiring at a lower rate than the previous incumbent, downsizing) | $45 \%$ |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE 12B Impact of Anticipating Pay Adjustments to Remediate Pay Equity Issues
on 2021 Salary Budgets $(n=1,627)$

| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustments as part of salary budget but separate from <br> other pay increase budgets | $16 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of merit budget | $8 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of general increase/COLA <br> increase budget | $2 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for equity adjustment as part of other increase budget | $12 \%$ |
| Percent of organizations that do NOT budget for pay equity adjustments | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| Organizations not anticipating pay adjustments to remediate pay equity issues | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ |

figure 12C 2021 Funding When Pay Equity Adjustments Are Not Budgeted ( $n=391$ )

| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the merit budget, even though the merit budget is <br> not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $25 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the general increase/COLA increase budget, even <br> though the general increase/COLA budget is not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $3 \%$ |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for out of the other increase budget, even though the other <br> increase budget is not inflated to cover equity adjustments | $23 \%$ |
| Pay equity adjustments are paid for with savings (e.g., savings realized from vacant positions, <br> hiring at a lower rate than the previous incumbent, downsizing) | $49 \%$ |

## PROMOTIONAL INCREASES

FIGURE 13 Impact of Promotional Increases on Salary Budgets ( $n=1,663$ )

| Additional amount budgeted for promotional increases as part of salary budget but separate <br> from other pay increase budgets | $21 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Additional amount budgeted for promotional increases as part of merit budget | $10 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for promotional increases as part of general increase/COLA <br> increase budget | $1 \%$ |
| Additional amount budgeted for promotional increases as part of other increase budget | $18 \%$ |
| Percent of organizations that do budget for promotions | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| Percent of organizations that do NOT budget for promotions | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |

figure 13A Promotional Increase Funding When Promotional Increases Are Not Budgeted ( $n=778$ )

| Promotional increases are paid for out of the merit budget, even though the merit budget is not <br> inflated to cover promotional increases | $23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Promotional increases are paid for out of the general increase/COLA increase budget, even <br> though the general increase/COLA budget is not inflated to cover promotional increases | $4 \%$ |
| Promotional increases are paid for out of the other increase budget, even though the other <br> increase budget is not inflated to cover promotional increases | $23 \%$ |
| Promotional increases are paid for with savings (e.g., savings realized from vacant positions, <br> hiring at a lower rate than the previous incumbent, downsizing) | $63 \%$ |

figure 13b Promotional Increase Budget Practices


[^0] to create this chart.

FIGURE 14 Salary Budget Increases, by Promotional Increase Budget Practices


|  | Organizations That Budget for Promotional Increases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| General Increase/ COLA | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| Merit Increase | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 3.0 |
| Other Increase | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Total Increase | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.0 |


|  | Organizations That Do NOT <br> Budget for Promotional <br> Increases |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2020 | Projected 2021 |  |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
|  | 2.5 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| Other Increase | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Total Increase | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.95 | 3.0 |

## PROMOTIONAL INCREASES

FIGURE 15 Promotional Increases

|  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

-- Question was not an option in the survey questionnaire.

FIGURE 16 Change in Planned Spending on Promotional Increases

|  | More | Similar | Less |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planned spending on promotional <br> increases in 2020 is $\ldots$ than 2019 | $4 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Estimated spending on promotional <br> increases in 2021 will be ... than 2020 | $9 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

PERCENT OF EMPLOYEES RECEIVING A BASE SALARY INCREASE

FIGURE 18 Percent of Employees Receiving a Base Salary Increase in 2020, by Employee Category and Region

|  | Central |  | Eastern |  | Southern |  | Western |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 82\% | 95\% | 80\% | 95\% | 82\% | 95\% | 82\% | 95\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 85\% | 96\% | 84\% | 95\% | 86\% | 95\% | 84\% | 95\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 84\% | 95\% | 82\% | 95\% | 84\% | 95\% | 83\% | 95\% |
| Officers/Executives | 83\% | 99\% | 81\% | 97\% | 82\% | 99\% | 82\% | 98\% |

MERIT INCREASE AWARDS
figure 19 Merit Increases Awarded, by Performance Category

|  | High Performers | Middle Performers | Low Performers |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage of employees rated <br> in this category for 2019 | $26 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Average merit increase awarded <br> to this 2019 performance category | $4.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  | $5 \%$ |
| Percentage of employees estimated <br> to be rated in this category for 2020 | $25 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Average merit increase estimated <br> for this 2020 performance category | $3.6 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Note: The mean distribution of the percent of employees in each performance category will total $100 \%$ or, as a result of rounding, may be very close. However, by definition, the median value for each category will move depending on the frequency of values in the dataset. Therefore, the median distribution of the percent of employees in each category will not equal $100 \%$.
figure 20 Five-Year History of Merit Increase Differentiation
$\left.\begin{array}{lc|c} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Middle Performers } \\ \text { (Mean) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { High Performers } \\ \text { (Mean) }\end{array} \\ \hline 2016 & 2.7 \% & 4.0 \% \\ \hline 2017 & 2.7 \% & 4.0 \% \\ \hline \text { Differentiation Between } \\ \text { Middle and High } \\ \text { Performers }\end{array}\right\}$

Differentiation percentage formula: (high performer mean - middle performer mean) / middle performer mean
figure 20b Relationship Between the Number of Employees Rated as High Performers and the Size of Merit Increases Awarded to High Performers

| Percent of employees rated as high performers for 2019 | 2019 Merit Increase Award for High Performers |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean | Median |
| Up to 10\% of employees | 190 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 11 to 15\% of employees | 118 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| 16 to $24 \%$ of employees | 241 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 25 to 29\% of employees | 122 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| $30 \%$ or more of employees | 375 | 3.8 | 3.7 |

figure 21 Base Pay Market Comparison Target, by Employee Category

|  | $10^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile | $25^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile | $50^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile <br> (median) | $75^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile | $90^{\text {th }}$ <br> Percentile | Other <br> Percentile | Normal <br> Compensation <br> Strategy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonexempt Hourly <br> Nonunion | $0.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $86.7 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Nonexempt Salaried | $0.2 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $86.6 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Exempt Salaried | $0.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $87.0 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| Officers/Executives | $0.1 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $77.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |

LUMP-SUM AWARDS (BASE-PAY RELATED)

A lump-sum award is defined as an increase in pay that is made in the form of a single cash payment. Lump-sum awards often are used in one of three circumstances:

- When an employer does not want to increase the employee's base pay due to budget constraints
- When an employee is reaching or exceeding the maximum of his/her salary range
- When an employer is trying to give the employee more buying power at a specific point in time.
figure 22 Lump-Sum Awards, by Employee Category

|  | Percent of Companies Giving <br> Lump-Sum Awards | Percent of Employees Receiving <br> Lump-Sum Awards (Mean) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | $53 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Nonexempt Salaried | $53 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Exempt Salaried | $57 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Officers/Executives | $40 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

## SALARY STRUCTURE ADJUSTMENTS

An organization's salary structure is a hierarchy of pay ranges with established minimums and maximums. Organizations frequently apply control points (often the midpoint) within each salary range. The collection of those control points determines
the pay line. As a general rule, the numbers displayed in Figure 25 refer to the percent increase in the salary structure pay line encompassing all salary range control points.
figure 23 Salary Structure Increases, by Employee Category

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 2.1\% | $2.0 \%$ <br> 8) | $(\mathrm{n}=1,008)$ | $2.0 \%$ | $(n=963)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=889)$ |  |
| Nonexempt Salaried | $(\mathrm{n}=527)$ |  | $(n=472)$ |  | $1.9 \%$ | $\text { 6) } 2.0 \%$ | $(n=422)$ |  |
| Exempt Salaried | $(\mathrm{n}=1,306)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1,206)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1,172)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1,069)$ |  |
| Officers/Executives | $(\mathrm{n}=1,027)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=955)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=940)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=868)$ |  |
| All | $(n=3,948)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=3,641)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=3,551)$ |  | $(n=3,248)$ |  |

FIGURE 23A Actual 2020 Salary Structure Increase Data, Most Common Responses

|  | Nonexempt <br> Hourly Nonunion <br> Mean: 1.9\% | Nonexempt Salaried <br> Mean: 1.9\% | Exempt Salaried <br> Mean: $1.9 \%$ | Officers/Executives <br> Mean: $1.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.0 \%$ increase | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| $2.5 \%$ increase | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| $2.0 \%$ increase | $30 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ increase | $23 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |

FIGURE 23B Projected 2021 Salary Structure Increase Data, Most Common Responses

|  | Nonexempt Hourly <br> Nonunion <br> Mean: 1.8\% | Nonexempt Salaried <br> Mean: $1.9 \%$ | Exempt Salaried <br> Mean: $1.9 \%$ | Officers/Executives <br> Mean: $1.9 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3.0 \%$ increase | $21 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $2.5 \%$ increase | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| $2.0 \%$ increase | $36 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ increase | $20 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ |

figure 24 Organizations Reporting No Salary Structure Increase (0\%), by Employee Category

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | n | \% | n | \% | n |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 13\% | 1,088 | 23\% | 963 | 20\% | 889 |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 11\% | 527 | 20\% | 476 | 18\% | 422 |
| Exempt Salaried | 13\% | 1,306 | 22\% | 1,172 | 19\% | 1,069 |
| Officers/Executives | 16\% | 1,027 | 24\% | 940 | 20\% | 868 |

FIGURE 25 Number of Months Since Last Increase if No Increase Was Reported (0\% or Blank) and Most Common Responses

|  |  |  |  | Frequency of Responses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n | Mean | Median | 12 months | 18 months | 24 months | 36 months |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 204 | 20.0 | 12.0 | 45\% | 12\% | 18\% | 6\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 88 | 18.0 | 12.0 | 53\% | 9\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 238 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 43\% | 13\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Officers/Executives | 196 | 19.0 | 12.0 | 43\% | 12\% | 19\% | 9\% |

## SALARY STRUCTURE ADJUSTMENTS

FIGURE 26 Salary Structure Trends

|  | Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | Nonexempt Salaried | Exempt Salaried | Officers/Executives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | - | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| 1995 | - | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% |
| 1996 | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| 1997 | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
| 1998 | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% |
| 1999 | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.7\% |
| 2000 | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 2001 | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| 2002 | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% |
| 2003 | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% |
| 2004 | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 2005 | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% |
| 2006 | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% |
| 2007 | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| 2008 | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% |
| 2009 | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% |
| 2010 | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% | 1.2\% |
| 2011 | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% |
| 2012 | 1.7\% | 2.1\% | 1.7\% | 1.7\% |
| 2013 | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| 2014 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| 2015 | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% |
| 2016 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| 2017 | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% |
| 2018 | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
| 2019 | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.2\% |
| 2020 | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| 2021 Projected | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |

FIGURE 27 Salary Structure Increases, by Region and Employee Category

|  | Central |  |  |  | Eastern |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| All | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |


|  | Southern |  |  |  | Western |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Exempt Salaried | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| All | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |



FIGURE 28 15-Year Perspective: Salary Budget and Structure Increases

|  | Salary Budget Increases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | - | - | - | - | - | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | - | - | - | - | - | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Exempt <br> Salaried | - | - | - | - | - | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Officers/ Executives | - | - | - | - | - | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| All - | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |


|  | Salary Structure Increases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.8\% |
| Nonexempt Salaried | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| Exempt <br> Salaried | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| Officers/ Executives | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |
| All---- | - | - | - | - | - | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 1.9\% | 1.9\% |


|  | Economic Indicators |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| Consumer Price Index (CPI) $\infty \infty$ | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | -0.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.1\% | 2.0\% | -0.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | - |
| Unemployment | 4.9\% | 4.6\% | 4.8\% | 6.9\% | 9.7\% | 9.3\% | 8.8\% | 7.9\% | 7.0\% | 5.8\% | 5.1\% | 4.7\% | 3.9\% | 3.6\% | 11.1\% | - |

[^1]
# -- Salary Budget Increases <br> ---- Salary Structure Increases 



Variable pay is the percentage of payroll established by management to grant to employees for performance-based, lump-sum, short-term cash awards during the year. Included in this calculation are payments provided under a formal plan,
such as organizationwide awards, unit/strategic business unit (SBU) awards and/or individual incentive awards. (Specific salesforce incentive awards and cash awards for recognition are excluded from the variable pay data.)
figure 29 Use of Variable Pay

| Percent of organizations ... | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Using variable pay | $85 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Not using variable pay | $15 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |

figure 30 Types of Variable Pay Programs

| Combination awards based on both organization/unit <br> success and individual performance | $69 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Organizationwide awards | $27 \%$ |
| Individual incentive awards | $22 \%$ |
| Unit/strategic business unit awards | $17 \%$ |

FIGURE 31 Impact of Variable Pay on Base Salary Budget Recommendations

|  | Nonexempt Hourly <br> Nonunion | Nonexempt Salaried | Exempt Salaried | Officers/Executives |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No impact | $82 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| Some impact | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Significant impact | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

figure 32 Variable Pay Programs, 2019-2021

| National | Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion |  | Nonexempt Salaried |  | Exempt Salaried |  | Officers/ Executives |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.0\% | 13.1\% | 12.0\% | 39.1\% | 37.0\% |
| Average percent paid | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% | 5.1\% | 12.8\% | 12.0\% | 38.5\% | 35.0\% |
| Percent of employees eligible in 2019 for variable pay | 88\% | 100\% | 91\% | 100\% | 83\% | 100\% | 95\% | 100\% |
| Percent of eligible employees actually paid variable pay for 2019 | 82\% | 99\% | 87\% | 99\% | 82\% | 98\% | 91\% | 100\% |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 12.6\% | 12.0\% | 37.9\% | 35.0\% |
| Projected percent paid | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 11.4\% | 10.0\% | 33.9\% | 30.0\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected percent budgeted | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 12.9\% | 12.0\% | 38.1\% | 37.0\% |

## VARIABLE PAY

flgure 33 2019-2021 Variable Pay Programs, by Region

|  | Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion |  | Nonexempt Salaried |  | Exempt Salaried |  | Officers/ Executives |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.0\% | 13.6\% | 13.0\% | 42.5\% | 40.0\% |
| Average percent paid | 5.4\% | 4.8\% | 6.4\% | 5.0\% | 13.6\% | 12.5\% | 42.2\% | 38.0\% |
| Percent of employees eligible in 2019 for variable pay | 84\% | 100\% | 90\% | 100\% | 80\% | 100\% | 95\% | 100\% |
| Percent of eligible employees actually paid variable pay for 2019 | 81\% | 98\% | 88\% | 99\% | 82\% | 98\% | 91\% | 100\% |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 41.0\% | 40.0\% |
| Projected percent paid | 4.9\% | 4.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 12.1\% | 11.1\% | 36.8\% | 35.0\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected percent budgeted | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 13.4\% | 13.0\% | 41.6\% | 40.0\% |


| Eastern | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.2\% | 13.0\% | 42.1\% | 40.0\% |
| Average percent paid | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.7\% | 13.3\% | 12.5\% | 42.1\% | 39.4\% |
| Percent of employees eligible in 2019 for variable pay | 86\% | 100\% | 88\% | 100\% | 81\% | 100\% | 95\% | 100\% |
| Percent of eligible employees actually paid variable pay for 2019 | 79\% | 98\% | 86\% | 99\% | 82\% | 98\% | 91\% | 100\% |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 13.0\% | 13.0\% | 41.6\% | 40.0\% |
| Projected percent paid | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 12.1\% | 12.0\% | 38.1\% | 36.5\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected percent budgeted | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.1\% | 13.0\% | 42.0\% | 40.0\% |

## VARIABLE PAY

figure 33 2019-2021 Variable Pay Programs, by Region (continued)

| Southern | Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion |  | Nonexempt Salaried |  | Exempt Salaried |  | Officers/ Executives |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 6.2\% | 5.0\% | 14.1\% | 13.0\% | 43.4\% | 40.0\% |
| Average percent paid | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 13.8\% | 13.0\% | 42.2\% | 38.6\% |
| Percent of employees eligible in 2019 for variable pay | 86\% | 100\% | 88\% | 100\% | 81\% | 100\% | 95\% | 100\% |
| Percent of eligible employees actually paid variable pay for 2019 | 81\% | 98\% | 86\% | 99\% | 83\% | 98\% | 92\% | 100\% |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.6\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.5\% | 13.0\% | 42.3\% | 40.0\% |
| Projected percent paid | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 12.6\% | 12.0\% | 38.3\% | 35.5\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected percent budgeted | 5.8\% | 5.0\% | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 13.8\% | 13.0\% | 42.4\% | 40.0\% |


| Western | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 6.3\% | 5.0\% | 6.4\% | 5.0\% | 13.6\% | 13.0\% | 42.8\% | 40.0\% |
| Average percent paid | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 6.4\% | 5.7\% | 13.3\% | 12.0\% | 42.0\% | 39.2\% |
| Percent of employees eligible in 2019 for variable pay | 85\% | 100\% | 90\% | 100\% | 82\% | 100\% | 96\% | 100\% |
| Percent of eligible employees actually paid variable pay for 2019 | 80\% | 97\% | 88\% | 99\% | 82\% | 98\% | 91\% | 100\% |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average percent budgeted | 5.9\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 5.0\% | 13.2\% | 13.0\% | 42.1\% | 40.0\% |
| Projected percent paid | 5.3\% | 5.0\% | 5.4\% | 5.0\% | 12.2\% | 12.0\% | 38.3\% | 38.0\% |
| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected percent budgeted | 6.1\% | 5.0\% | 6.3\% | 5.0\% | 13.5\% | 14.0\% | 42.2\% | 40.0\% |

figure 34 Compensation Programs Used in Past 12 Months

|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,922$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,735$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,833$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,836$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,625$ ) |
| Sign-on/hiring bonus | 73\% | 75\% | 74\% | 77\% | 75\% |
| Market adjustments/increase to base salary | 76\% | 79\% | 79\% | 79\% | 73\% |
| Employee referral bonus | 66\% | 69\% | 70\% | 73\% | 69\% |
| Spot bonus (individual) | 54\% | 57\% | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% |
| Retention/stay bonus | 52\% | 53\% | 54\% | 55\% | 54\% |
| Noncash recognition and rewards | 50\% | 51\% | 50\% | 52\% | 50\% |
| Paying above market | 32\% | 33\% | 35\% | 36\% | 30\% |
| Stock grant programs | 29\% | 30\% | 28\% | 29\% | 29\% |
| Project milestone/completion bonus | 26\% | 25\% | 26\% | 26\% | 24\% |
| Separate salary structures | 23\% | 23\% | 23\% | 26\% | 23\% |
| Special cash bonus/group incentives | 20\% | 20\% | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Exempt overtime pay or time off | 20\% | 23\% | 22\% | 22\% | 21\% |
| Stock option program | 19\% | 20\% | 18\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Larger merit increase budgets | 8\% | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% | 7\% |

# Canadian Distribution of Total Salary Budget Increase Responses 

 Actual 2019 vs. Actual 2020

## Canada



The average Canadian total salary budget increase is $2.6 \%$ in 2020, a 0.4 percentage point decline from the $3.0 \%$ budgeted last year and projected for this year. After several years of growth, this reflects a decline in the increase budget for the first time since 2015. But growth is projected again next year, rising to a projected $2.9 \%$. (See Figure C1 on page 51.) The median figure of $3.0 \%$ has not changed since 2011.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Economic Influences

Inflation in Canada was at $0.7 \%$ for the 12-month period ending June 2020, according to Statistics Canada's Consumer Price Index. That agency also reported the average unemployment rate at $12.3 \%$ for the same 12-month period, 6.6
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## Regional Data

All Canadian provinces experienced drops in average salary increase budgets as compared to 2019, with Alberta and Saskatchewan (both at 2.3\%), Northwest Territories (2.1\%), Nunavut (2.2\%) and Yukon (2.0\%) experiencing the most profound falls. Recoveries to the provinces' salary budgets are anticipated, with the exception of another 0.1 percentage point drop for both the Northwest Territories and Nunavut, and Prince Edward Island declining by 0.3 percentage point in 2021.

Similarly, major metropolitan areas reported several movements in average and median salary increase budgets for this year, with Hamilton ( 0.7 percentage point to $2.0 \%$ ) and Quebec City ( 0.5 percentage point to $2.3 \%$ ) experiencing the largest drops. All Canadian cities are expecting to rebound in 2021, with Quebec City seeking the greatest increase budget growth to $4.6 \%$, doubling its 2020 salary budget increase.

## Organization Size Data

In 2020, reported average salary budget increases are greater for small employers (1-499 employees) at $3.1 \%$. (See Figures C10 and C11 on pages 56 and 57.) Those questions yielded a variance in sample sizes, and data corresponding to smaller sample sizes will not have strong statistical power and validity. Caution should be used when interpreting these demographic results.

## Salary Structure Adjustments

Salary structure adjustments across all employee categories averaged 1.7\% (median: $2.0 \%$ ) in 2020, a 0.3 percentage point decline from 2019 (median: 2.0\%). Organizations are projecting the same overall salary structure adjustment in 2021 holding steady at 1.7\% (median: 2.0\%).

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGUREC1 Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase

|  | Actu | 2018 | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| General Increase/COLA | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | $1.7 \%$ | 2.0\% | $1.6 \%$ | 2.0\% <br> 6) | 1.3\% | $1.5 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Merit Increase | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \% \\ \quad(\mathrm{n}= \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \% \\ & 33) \end{aligned}$ | $(\mathrm{n}=1,331)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 31) \end{aligned}$ | $(n=1331)$ | $3.0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.4 \% \\ \quad(\mathrm{n}= \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \% \\ & 64) \end{aligned}$ | 2.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 05) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other Increase | $0.9 \%$ | 0.5\% | $0.9 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & 99) \end{aligned}$ | $0.7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & 59) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.9 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $0.9 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \% \\ & 76) \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Increase | $(\mathrm{n}=1,325)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1,433)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=1,433)$ |  | $(n=1,373)$ |  | $(n=1,196)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \% \\ & 96) \end{aligned}$ |

Note: "General Increase/COLA," "Merit" and "Other" do not add to the "Total Increase" because not every organization provides all three types of increase. The n's represent the number of responses for each type of increase, which may include multiple responses if each respondent reports for more than one employee category for that type of increase.

FIGURE C2 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category

| Salary Budget Increases (zeros included) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual 2018 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Management Salaried | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
| All | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

Salary Budget Increases (zeros not included)

|  | Actual 2018 |  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Management Salaried | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| Officers/Executives | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% |
| All | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure c3 Number of Months Between Increases

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 11.9 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 |
| Management Salaried | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| Officers/Executives | 12.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| All | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 |

FIGURE C4 Distribution of Total Salary Budget Increase Responses, Actual 2019 vs. Actual 2020

|  | Zero (0\%) |  | 0.1\%-1.9\% |  | 2.0\%-2.9\% |  | 3.0\%-4.0\% |  | 4.1\%-6.9\% |  | 7.0\%+ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 | 2019 | 2020 |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 2\% | 11\% | 4\% | 7\% | 33\% | 32\% | 57\% | 46\% | 4\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 2\% | 12\% | 2\% | 5\% | 31\% | 30\% | 59\% | 49\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | <1\% |
| Management Salaried | 2\% | 11\% | 2\% | 5\% | 32\% | 30\% | 59\% | 50\% | 5\% | 3\% | 1\% | <1\% |
| Officers/Executives | 5\% | 16\% | 5\% | 4\% | 31\% | 32\% | 54\% | 41\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure c5 Salary Budget Trends

|  | Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | Nonmanagement Salaried | Management Salaried | Officers/Executives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1986 | - | 5.1\% | 5.6\% | 5.8\% |
| 1987 | - | 4.9\% | 5.1\% | 5.2\% |
| 1988 | - | 5.4\% | 5.8\% | 6.0\% |
| 1989 | - | 5.8\% | 5.9\% | 6.0\% |
| 1990 | - | 6.2\% | 6.3\% | 6.4\% |
| 1991 | - | 5.5\% | 5.5\% | 5.5\% |
| 1992 | - | 3.7\% | 3.6\% | 3.3\% |
| 1993 | - | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% |
| 1994 | - | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% |
| 1995 | - | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
| 1996 | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% |
| 1997 | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% |
| 1998 | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% |
| 1999 | 3.1\% | 3.6\% | 3.7\% | 3.6\% |
| 2000 | 3.5\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 4.1\% |
| 2001 | 3.5\% | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | 4.4\% |
| 2002 | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% |
| 2003 | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% |
| 2004 | 3.2\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 3.7\% |
| 2005 | 3.4\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 3.5\% |
| 2006 | 3.7\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
| 2007 | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
| 2008 | 3.8\% | 3.8\% | 3.9\% | 3.9\% |
| $2009$ | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.2\% |
| 2010 | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
| $2011$ | 2.9\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 2012 | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2013 | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 2014 | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| 2015 | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% |
| $2016$ | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
| 2017 | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |
| 2018 | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| 2019 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
| 2020 | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| 2021 Projected | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGUREC6 10-Year Perspective: Salary Budget Increases and CPI

|  |  | Salary Budget Increases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% |
| -- | Nonmanagement Salaried | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| ---- | Management Salaried | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| -•*.. | Officers/Executives | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% |
|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} 2021 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\infty$ | Consumer Price Index (CPI) | 3.3\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | - |

Note: Canadian CPI as reported by Statistics Canada for the 12 months ending June 2020 (www.statcan.ca).


## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure c7 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Province

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| National | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Alberta | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% |
| British Columbia | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% |
| Manitoba | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| New Brunswick | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Newfoundland | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Northwest Territories | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
| Nova Scotia | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Nunavut | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% |
| Ontario | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Prince Edward Island | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% |
| Quebec | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Saskatchewan | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Yukon | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |

FIGURE C8 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Metropolitan Area

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| National | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Calgary | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Edmonton | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Hamilton | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Montreal | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Ottawa | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% |
| Quebec | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 4.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Toronto | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 3.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Vancouver | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Winnipeg | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE C9 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Major Industry Grouping

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| All Industries | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Accommodation and Food Services | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting | - | - | - | - |
| Arts, Entertainment \& Recreation | - | - | - | - |
| Construction | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Educational Services | 1.8\% | 1.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% |
| Finance and Insurance | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Health Care and Social Assistance | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Information | 2.4\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Manufacturing | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% |
| Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services (includes Consulting) | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Public Administration | - | - | - | - |
| Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Retail Trade | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% |
| Telecommunications | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Transportation and Warehousing | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.4\% |
| Utilities | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Wholesale Trade | 4.3\% | 3.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.0\% |
| Other Services (except Public Administration) | - | - | - | - |

- Fewer than 5 responses.

FIGURE C10 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Organization Size

| Number of Employees | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| 1-499 | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| 500-2,499 | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% |
| 2,500-9,999 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| 10,000-19,999 | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| 20,000+ | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% |
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figure C11 Total Salary Budget Increases, by Revenue

| 2019 Revenue | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Up to \$30 million | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% | 2.4\% | 2.9\% |
| More than \$30 million to \$100 million | 3.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
| More than \$100 million to \$300 million | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 3.0\% |
| More than \$300 million to \$600 million | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| More than $\$ 600$ million to $\$ 1$ billion | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| More than $\$ 1$ billion to $\$ 3$ billion | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 5$ billion | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 5$ billion to $\$ 8$ billion | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 8$ billion to $\$ 10$ billion | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
| More than $\$ 10$ billion | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.6\% | 3.9\% | 2.9\% |

## SALARY STRUCTURE ADJUSTMENTS

FIGURE C12 Salary Structure Increases, by Employee Category

|  | Actual 2019 |  | Projected 2020 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 2.0\% | $2.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $\text { 0) } 2.0 \%$ |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 2.0\% | $2.0 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $\text { 8) } 2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | 2.0\% | 1.8\% |  |
| Management Salaried | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | $\text { 0) } 2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | 1.8\% | $2.0 \%$ |
| Officers/Executives | $2.1 \%$ | 2.0\% | $2.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |  |
| All | $(n=904)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=770)$ |  | $(n=855)$ |  | $(\mathrm{n}=760)$ |  |

FIGURE C13 Number of Months Since Last Salary Structure Increase if No Increase Was

|  | n | Mean | Median |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | 38 | 19.0 | 12.0 |
| Nonmanagement Salaried | 62 | 20.0 | 15.0 |
| Management Salaried | 60 | 21.0 | 18.0 |
| Officers/Executives | 39 | 22.0 | 18.0 |



## Global



## International Salary Budget Increases

All countries reported drops in salary increase budgets for 2020 with great variability from country to country, as average declines ranged from -1.5 to -0.1 percentage point changes.

India saw the largest swing, dropping from 9.9\% (median: 10.0\%) in 2019 to 8.4\% (median: 10.0\%) this year. Following closely behind, Brazil's gradual decline since 2017 took a sharp drop in its growth rate this year, falling 1.2 percentage points to $4.2 \%$ (median: 4.9\%). Russia fell 1.1 percentage points to $5.6 \%$ (median: 6.5\%).

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The "WorldatWork Salary Budget Survey" has historically collected, analyzed and reported salary budget increase data for the United States and Canada. Strategic Rewards Group's merit and inflation survey has also been republished in the Salary Budget Survey for years, addressing the demand for international data for 70 countries. Increasingly though, U.S.-based organizations, interested in benchmarking global pay practices, have sought data that mirror WorldatWork's types of data and employee categories.

WorldatWork now reports core salary budget increase data for 17 countries in addition to the United States and Canada, an expansion effort that began in 2012. Secondary data, such as salary structure adjustments and variable pay budgets, are gathered only from U.S. organizations.

This year, 2,594 responses were received for the surveyed countries outside of the United States and Canada.

There are 19 countries for which both WorldatWork and Strategic Rewards Group data are presented:

| I Australia | Mexico |
| :--- | :--- |
| Belgium | Netherlands |
| Brazil | Russia |
| Canada | Singapore |
| China | Spain |
| France | Sweden |
| Germany | India |
| Italy | Unitzerland |
| Japan | United Kingdom |

## Notes about International Data

Discrepancies can occur between the Strategic Rewards Group's merit and inflation survey, which for years has been published within the Salary Budget Survey, and WorldatWork data. Those discrepancies are due to differences in methodologies, particularly the handling of zero-percent responses, as well as differences in sample sizes. As a default, WorldatWork includes zero-percent responses in the analysis of all data; Strategic Rewards Group excludes zero-percent responses. In order to allow for easier comparison of all international data contained in this report, WorldatWork's international tables are presented both with and without zero-percent responses.
For the best comparison between WorldatWork and Strategic Rewards Group, please compare the general increase/ COLA and merit increase rows of Figure G1b through Figure G4. In Figure G4, the planned increase average columns include both the average merit increase and the average inflation-based pay increase. (Please see Methodology section on page 7 and the notes after Figure G4 on page 77 for future explanation.)

While WorldatWork reports aggregated data for as few as five organizations within a country, data corresponding to larger sample sizes will have stronger statistical power and validity. Some caution should be exercised when using data points contained in this report that have been aggregated from relatively few respondents.

This lack of differentiation for some categories may be due to U.S.-based respondents submitting the same value for all employee categories because they have limited access to international budget figures for their organization. WorldatWork continues to monitor this data for any trends.

## Notes about International Data

Discrepancies can occur between the Strategic Rewards Group's merit and inflation survey, which for years has been published within the Salary Budget Survey, and WorldatWork data. Those discrepancies are due to differences in methodologies, particularly the handling of zero-percent responses, as well as differences in sample sizes. As a default, WorldatWork includes zero-percent responses in the analysis of all data; Strategic Rewards Group excludes zero-percent responses. In order to allow for easier comparison of all international data contained in this report, WorldatWork's international tables are presented both with and without zero-percent responses.

For the best comparison between WorldatWork and Strategic Rewards Group, please compare the general increase/COLA and merit increase rows of Figure G1b through

Figure G4. In Figure G4, the planned increase average columns include both the average merit increase and the average inflation-based pay increase. (Please see Methodology section on page 7 and the notes after Figure G4 on page 75 for future explanation.)

While WorldatWork reports aggregated data for as few as five organizations within a country, data corresponding to larger sample sizes will have stronger statistical power and validity. Some caution should be exercised when using data points contained in this report that have been aggregated from relatively few respondents.

Unlimited, customized reports for the United States and Canada can be run through the "Online Reporting Tool." (See page 79 for instructions.) In 2020, WorldatWork did not receive enough responses from any other country to support user-customized cuts of data from an online database. WorldatWork hopes to increase the number for all countries to expand the coverage of the "Online Reporting Tool."



## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure G1 Number of 2020 Salary Budget Increase Responses, by Country

|  | General Increase/ COLA | Merit Increase | Other Increase | Total Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | 43 | 467 | 139 | 490 |
| Belgium | 55 | 203 | 78 | 229 |
| Brazil | 97 | 313 | 98 | 357 |
| Canada | 200 | 1,264 | 345 | 1,373 |
| China | 51 | 507 | 130 | 524 |
| France | 39 | 428 | 121 | 452 |
| Germany | 37 | 488 | 121 | 510 |
| India | 30 | 451 | 114 | 467 |
| Italy | 45 | 325 | 85 | 337 |
| Japan | 11 | 333 | 90 | 339 |
| Mexico | 56 | 453 | 124 | 475 |
| Netherlands | 47 | 303 | 89 | 328 |
| Russia | 14 | 177 | 54 | 185 |
| Singapore | 19 | 382 | 90 | 393 |
| Spain | 35 | 323 | 90 | 335 |
| Sweden | 16 | 173 | 54 | 179 |
| Switzerland | 11 | 252 | 70 | 262 |
| United Kingdom | 52 | 770 | 199 | 807 |
| United States | 886 | 4,784 | 1,439 | 5,179 |

FIGURE G1A Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase (zeros included)

|  | Type of Increase | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Australia | General Increase/COLA | 2.3\% | 2.6\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Belgium | General Increase/COLA | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 1.8\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
| Brazil | General Increase/COLA | 4.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 4.2\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.4\% | 3.7\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 5.4\% | 5.5\% | 4.2\% | 4.9\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G1A Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase (zeros included)
(continued)

|  | Type of Increase | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Canada | General Increase/COLA | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| China | General Increase/COLA | 3.9\% | 5.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 5.9\% | 6.2\% | 6.4\% | 6.3\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| France | General Increase/COLA | 1.6\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Germany | General Increase/COLA | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| India | General Increase/COLA | 5.4\% | 7.0\% | 6.0\% | 8.5\% | 6.1\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 9.6\% | 10.0\% | 8.1\% | 9.6\% | 8.7\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.4\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 9.9\% | 10.0\% | 8.4\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Italy | General Increase/COLA | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Japan | General Increase/COLA* | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
| Mexico | General Increase/COLA | 3.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 4.8\% | 5.0\% | 3.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |
| Netherlands | General Increase/COLA | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.7\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.3\% | $2.7 \%$ | 2.5\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G1A Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase (zeros included)
(continued)

|  | Type of Increase | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Russia | General Increase/COLA* | 3.1\% | 3.5\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 5.3\% | 6.4\% | 6.0\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 6.7\% | 7.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.5\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% |
| Singapore | General Increase/COLA* | 3.1\% | 3.5\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.3\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Spain | General Increase/COLA | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Sweden | General Increase/COLA* | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.7\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.3\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Switzerland | General Increase/COLA* | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
| United Kingdom | General Increase/COLA | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 1.8\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| United States | General Increase/COLA | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.6\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

[^2]
## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G1B Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase (zeros NOT included)

| Australia | Type of Increase | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | General Increase/COLA | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| Belgium | General Increase/COLA | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 1.9\% | 2.3\% | 2.1\% | 2.4\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Brazil | General Increase/COLA | 4.2\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 3.2\% | 3.3\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% |
|  | Other Increase | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |
| Canada | General Increase/COLA | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
| China | General Increase/COLA | 5.1\% | 6.0\% | 4.6\% | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 6.3\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% | 6.6\% | 6.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.2\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.5\% | 6.9\% | 6.5\% |
| France | General Increase/COLA | 1.7\% | 1.8\% | 1.3\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Germany | General Increase/COLA | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |
| India | General Increase/COLA | 7.8\% | 8.5\% | 7.8\% | 9.0\% | 7.4\% | 8.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 9.6\% | 10.0\% | 9.3\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 9.4\% | 10.0\% | 9.3\% | 10.0\% |
| Italy | General Increase/COLA | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.1\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Japan | General Increase/COLA* | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G1B Salary Budget Increases, by Type of Increase (zeros NOT included)
(continued)

|  | Type of Increase | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Mexico | General Increase/COLA | 3.8\% | 4.3\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Netherlands | General Increase/COLA | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Russia | General Increase/COLA* | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.7\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 6.8\% | 7.1\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 6.5\% | 7.0\% |
| Singapore | General Increase/COLA* | 4.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.9\% | 3.3\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
| Spain | General Increase/COLA | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Sweden | General Increase/COLA* | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.6\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
| Switzerland | General Increase/COLA* | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% |
| United Kingdom | General Increase/COLA | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| United States | General Increase/COLA | 1.7\% | 2.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% |
|  | Merit Increase | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | Other Increase | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% | 1.0\% |
|  | Total Increase | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.0\% |

Note: "General Increase/COLA," "Merit" and "Other" do not add to the "Total Increase" because not every organization provides all three types of increase. The n's represent the number of responses for each type of increase, which may include multiple responses if each respondent reports for more than one employee category for that type of increase.
*This data may represent a small sample size of fewer than 30 responses. Please refer to figure G1.

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

figure g2 Number of 2020 Total Salary Budget Increase Responses, by Employee Category

|  | NHN | NS | MS | OE | All |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | 77 | 167 | 170 | 76 | 490 |
| Belgium | 33 | 85 | 87 | 24 | 229 |
| Brazil | 46 | 129 | 132 | 50 | 357 |
| Canada | 265 | 415 | 436 | 257 | 1,373 |
| China | 84 | 180 | 191 | 69 | 524 |
| France | 62 | 160 | 160 | 70 | 452 |
| Germany | 88 | 174 | 175 | 73 | 510 |
| India | 59 | 169 | 173 | 66 | 467 |
| Italy | 47 | 121 | 124 | 45 | 337 |
| Japan | 47 | 121 | 127 | 44 | 339 |
| Mexico | 85 | 168 | 171 | 51 | 475 |
| Netherlands | 49 | 118 | 121 | 40 | 328 |
| Russia | 25 | 71 | 73 | 16 | 185 |
| Singapore | 49 | 138 | 142 | 64 | 393 |
| Spain | 48 | 122 | 121 | 44 | 335 |
| Sweden | 26 | 68 | 67 | 18 | 179 |
| Switzerland | 32 | 95 | 95 | 40 | 262 |
| United Kingdom | 121 | 260 | 270 | 156 | 807 |
| United States | 1,377 | 665 | 1,654 | 1,483 | 5,179 |

figure g2A Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros included)


## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G2A Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros included)
(continued)

|  | Employee Category | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | NHN | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NS | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
| Canada | MS | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | OE | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% |
|  | All | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NHN | 6.9\% | 6.8\% | 6.9\% | 6.5\% | 7.4\% | 6.5\% |
|  | NS | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
| China | MS | 6.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
|  | OE | 6.6\% | 6.6\% | 5.5\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 6.5\% |
|  | All | 6.8\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% |
|  | NHN | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| France | MS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | All | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NHN | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NS | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| Germany | MS | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | OE | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |
|  | All | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NHN | 9.5\% | 10.0\% | 8.8\% | 10.0\% | 9.1\% | 10.0\% |
|  | NS | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 8.5\% | 10.0\% | 9.2\% | 10.0\% |
| India | MS | 9.8\% | 10.0\% | 8.4\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | OE | 10.5\% | 10.0\% | 7.9\% | 10.0\% | 8.6\% | 10.0\% |
|  | All | 9.9\% | 10.0\% | 8.4\% | 10.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  | NHN | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Italy | MS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | All | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NHN | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
| Japan | MS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% |
|  | All | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NHN | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.6\% | 5.0\% |
|  | NS | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |
| Mexico | MS | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |
|  | OE | 4.8\% | 5.0\% | 4.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |
|  | All | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.3\% | 5.0\% | 4.7\% | 5.0\% |

(Continued on page 71)

NHN - Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion \| NS - Nonmanagement Salaried \| MS - Management Salaried | OE - Officers/Executives

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G2A Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros included)
(continued)

|  | Employee Category | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Netherlands | NHN | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
|  | NS | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
|  | MS | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
|  | OE | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.9\% |
|  | All | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% |
| Russia | NHN* | 6.6\% | 7.0\% | 5.4\% | 6.5\% | 5.7\% | 6.5\% |
|  | NS | 6.8\% | 7.1\% | 5.6\% | 6.6\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% |
|  | MS | 6.7\% | 7.2\% | 5.8\% | 6.9\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% |
|  | OE* | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.5\% | 6.3\% | 7.0\% |
|  | All | 6.7\% | 7.0\% | 5.6\% | 6.5\% | 6.2\% | 7.0\% |
| Singapore | NHN | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | NS | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | MS | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% |
|  | OE | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | 3.4\% | 4.0\% |
|  | All | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.5\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% |
| Spain | NHN | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | MS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% |
|  | All | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Sweden | NHN* | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% |
|  | NS | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | MS | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.6\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | OE* | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.3\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | All | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
| Switzerland | NHN* | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.1\% |
|  | NS | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | MS | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
|  | OE | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 1.8\% | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% |
|  | All | 2.3\% | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% |
| United Kingdom | NHN | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NS | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | MS | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | OE | 3.0\% | 3.0\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | All | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.7\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
| United States | NHN | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | NS | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | ES | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | OE | 3.3\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |
|  | All | 3.2\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 3.0\% |

[^3]NHN - Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion \| NS - Nonmanagement Salaried \| MS - Management Salaried | OE - Officers/Executives

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G2B Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros NOT included)

(Continued on page 73)

NHN - Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion \| NS - Nonmanagement Salaried \| MS - Management Salaried | OE - Officers/Executives

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G2B Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros NOT included)
(continued)

|  | Employee Category | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
| Italy | NHN | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | MS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | All | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Japan | NHN | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | MS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |
|  | All | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
| Mexico | NHN | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | NS | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | MS | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
|  | OE | 5.1\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% |
|  | All | 5.2\% | 5.0\% | 4.9\% | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 5.0\% |
| Netherlands | NHN | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
|  | NS | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
|  | MS | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
|  | OE | 3.1\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.0\% |
|  | All | 3.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.9\% |
| Russia | NHN* | 6.6\% | 7.0\% | 6.2\% | 6.5\% | 5.9\% | 6.5\% |
|  | NS | 6.9\% | 7.2\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 6.6\% | 7.0\% |
|  | MS | 6.8\% | 7.2\% | 6.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.6\% | 7.0\% |
|  | OE* | 6.7\% | 7.0\% | 6.1\% | 6.5\% | 6.3\% | 7.0\% |
|  | All | 6.8\% | 7.1\% | 6.4\% | 7.0\% | 6.5\% | 7.0\% |
| Singapore | NHN | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | NS | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
|  | MS | 4.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
|  | OE | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.0\% |
|  | All | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% | 3.8\% | 4.0\% |
| Spain | NHN | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | NS | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | MS | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
|  | OE | 2.6\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% | 2.5\% |
|  | All | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.7\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.5\% |
| Sweden | NHN* | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% |
|  | NS | 2.9\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
|  | MS | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |
|  | OE* | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.6\% |
|  | All | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.7\% | 2.6\% |

(Continued on page 74)

NHN - Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion | NS - Nonmanagement Salaried | MS - Management Salaried | OE - Officers/Executives

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G2B Total Salary Budget Increases, by Employee Category (zeros NOT included)
(continued)

*This data may represent a small sample size of fewer than 30 responses. Please refer to figure G2.
NHN - Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion \| NS - Nonmanagement Salaried | MS - Management Salaried | OE - Officers/Executives
figure g3 Number of Months Between Increases

| Australia | Actual 2019 |  | Actual 2020 |  | Projected 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | Median | Mean | Median | Mean | Median |
|  | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 12.0 |
| Belgium | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
| Brazil | 11.8 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| Canada | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 |
| China | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| France | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 |
| Germany | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 |
| India | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| Italy | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 | 12.4 | 12.0 |
| Japan | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| Mexico | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| Netherlands | 12.0 | 12.0 | 13.1 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| Russia | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 12.0 |
| Singapore | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 |
| Spain | 12.1 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.0 |
| Sweden | 12.1 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 12.0 |
| Switzerland | 12.3 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 |
| United Kingdom | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |
| United States | 12.2 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

The following table was provided by SRG and includes global comparisons of average increase and inflation information for 72 countries within four major regions. The information was collected from more than 7,100 Strategic Rewards Group clients and includes increases that were awarded in 2020 and projections for 2021 in four categories: executive, management, professional and employee.

Data in this figure were collected, analyzed and reported by SRG. For the best comparison between WorldatWork and SRG data, please compare the general increase/COLA and merit increase rows of Figure G1b to Figure G4. Please note that discrepancies in data are possible because of differences in methodologies and sample sizes. Please see page 61 for more information.

FIGURE G4 International Merit Increases \& Inflation
(Data collected, analyzed and reported by Strategic Rewards Group)
Effective June 15, 2020

| 2020 |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Increase Avg. | Inflation (Projected) | Inflation (Projected) | Planned Increase Projected |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Executive | Management | Professional | Employee |


| Europe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austria | 3.0 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Belgium | 2.9 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Cyprus | 2.2 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.4 |
| Czech Republic | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.7 |
| Denmark | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Estonia | 3.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Euro Zone | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Finland | 2.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| France | 2.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Germany | 3.1 | 0.3 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Greece | 2.3 | (0.5) | 1.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Hungary | 4.9 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.0 | 4.9 |
| Iceland | 4.2 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 |
| Irish Republic | 2.8 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Italy | 2.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.4 |
| Latvia | 4.7 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.5 |
| Lithuania | 3.2 | (0.4) | 1.7 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Luxembourg | 2.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Netherlands | 3.2 | 0.5 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| Norway | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 |
| Poland | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| Portugal | 2.6 | (0.3) | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Russia | 4.8 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.8 |
| Slovak Republic | 3.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 4.3 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
| Slovenia | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 |
| Spain | 2.8 | (0.2) | 1.5 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| Sweden | 2.9 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.0 |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G4 International Merit Increases \& Inflation
(continued)
(Data collected, analyzed and reported by Strategic Rewards Group)

|  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual Increase Avg | Inflation (Projected) | Inflation (Projected) | Planned Increase Projected |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Executive | Management | Professional | Employee |
| Switzerland | 1.3 | (0.2) | 0.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Ukraine | 5.7 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 |
| United Kingdom | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.1 |

Asia Pacific

| Australia | 2.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bangladesh | 6.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.5 |
| China | 4.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| Hong Kong | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 |
| India | 5.1 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| Indonesia | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Japan | 1.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 |
| Korea (South) | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| Malaysia (Pen.) | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| New Zealand | 2.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| Pakistan | 9.6 | 11.1 | 9.0 | 10.3 | 9.8 | 9.6 | 9.5 |
| Philippines | 4.3 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Singapore | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Sri Lanka | 5.8 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| Taiwan | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Thailand | 2.8 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Vietnam | 4.6 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.4 |

Africa/ Middle East

| Bahrain | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Egypt | 9.7 | 5.9 | 8.0 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 9.7 |
| Israel | 2.6 | $(0.9)$ | 1.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 |  |
| Kenya | 7.2 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 7.2 |
| Lebanon | 15.8 | 17.0 | 14.0 | 16.8 | 16.1 | 15.9 | 15.5 |
| Nigeria | 13.6 | 13.4 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 12.7 | 12.5 |
| Oman | 4.5 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.7 | 4.3 |
| Saudi Arabia | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 1.3 |  |
| South Africa | 4.9 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 5.1 | 5.0 |  |
| Turkey | 14.2 | 12.0 | 15.5 | 16.6 | 15.8 | 14.4 | 4.9 |
| UAE | 3.1 | $1.0)$ | 1.1 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.5 | 4.3 |
| Zimbabwe ${ }^{*}$ | 4.3 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 5.3 |

## SALARY BUDGET INCREASES

FIGURE G4 International Merit Increases \& Inflation (continued) (Data collected, analyzed and reported by Strategic Rewards Group)

| 2020 |  |  | 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Actual | Inflation <br> (Projected) | Inflation <br> (Projected) | Planned Increase Projected |  |  |  |
|  | Increase Avg. | Executive | Management | Professional | Employee |  |

## Latin America

| Argentina | 57.2 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 59.0 | 57.0 | 56.0 | 53.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 6.1 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 6.2 |
| Chile | 3.7 | 3.4 | 2.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Colombia | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| Costa Rica | 2.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.8 |
| Ecuador | 1.6 | (0.1) | (0.4) | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| Guatemala | 3.8 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.7 |
| Mexico | 4.9 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| Panama | 3.1 | (1.0) | 1.2 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Peru | 3.4 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| Puerto Rico | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 |
| Venezuela | ? | 1,033 (000) | 1,042 (000) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| North America |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada | 3.6 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| USA | 3.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 |

Notes:
1 SRG's regular annual salary planning survey is conducted January/February. This off-cycle conducted since 2009.
2 Planned increase averages includes both the average merit increase and the average inflation-based pay increase.
3 SRG does not count zeros reported.
4 Merit salary survey data for 2019 and 2020 (newly projected) was obtained from over 7,125 clients and general survey participants. The preponderance of this survey has been used since 1993 by WorldatWork (Salary Budget).
5 In higher inflation countries, increases may be given more frequently than annual.
6 CPI data provided by The World Bank, IMF, and the London School of Economics, Barclays Bank and the Economist Intelligence Unit.
7 Sector specific increases are available upon request for a fee of $\$ 250$ per country.
(e.g., Bio-tech, E\&C, High-tech, INGO/NGO, Pharmaceutical, Professional Services),

8 A total of 72 countries (plus Euro Zone average) are listed, but data is available for another 62 countries. The fee for this survey is $\$ 500$ payable in advance to cover administration of the survey. Specific labor markets and/or sectors available for $\$ 250$ per location (e.g. Brazil - Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, and Brazilia).
9 For more information about the survey from SRG, please contact info@strategicrewardsgroup.com.

* Zimbabwe - rampant inflation has given rise to dollarization (USD). Phased out ZBD for USD in July 2015....several other currencies are convertible FX referencing their rate to the USD. We continue to track CPI in USD terms.


## Survey definitions for employee category

- Executives - Officials, elected or appointed, and their equivalent business unit or function heads.

I Managers - Management in operational and functional roles not considered "Top."

- Professional - Professionals having a degreed or commonly recognized field of knowledge/work, which may (or not) include the supervision of personnel. May also include key contributors and supervisors.
- Employee - Regular operational and functional employees not having any supervisory responsibility.


## SURVEY DEFINITIONS

Bonus: an after-the-fact reward or payment based on the performance of an individual, a group of workers operating as a unit, a division or business unit, or an entire workforce.

Exempt Salaried: all other salaried employees, except officers and executives, not subject to the overtime pay provisions of Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA).

General increase/Cost of Living Allowance (COLA): an identical pay raise either in a flat rate such as cents per hour or as a percentage of salary given to all eligible employees. Also known as an across-the-board increase.

Nonmanagement Hourly Nonunion (Non-U.S.): hourly nonunion employees. Excludes hourly union employees.

Lump-sum Award: an award that is paid in a single cash payment.

Incentive: any form of variable payment tied to performance. The payment is a monetary award. Incentives are contrasted with bonuses in that performance goals for incentives are predetermined.

Management Salaried (Non-U.S.): all other salaried employees, except officers and executives.

Merit increase: an adjustment to an individual's base pay rate based on performance or some other individual measure.

Nonexempt Hourly Nonunion: hourly employees who are not exempt from the minimum wage and overtime pay provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA). Exclude hourly union employees.

Nonexempt Salaried: salaried (compensation paid by the week, month or year rather than by the hour) employees who are not exempt from the minimum wage and overtime pay provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA). Excludes hourly employees both union and nonunion.

Nonmanagement Salaried (Non-U.S.): salaried
nonunion employees. Excludes hourly employees both union and nonunion.

Officers/Executives: top and/or senior management that have significant responsibility for the management of the company as well as influence on the results of the company.

Other increase: may include internal equity adjustments, salary range adjustments, skill-based pay increases. See options in question 9a for more examples.

Promotional increase: an increase in a salary or wage rate provided to a person because of a promotion to a higher-level job.

Salary range structure change: the percentage change in the control points (or the midpoints) of a formal salary range, band or wage rate that are adjusted to reflect movements in the marketplace.

Total base salaries: total salaries for all eligible employees (base salaries only).

Total increase: the total amount of any combination of the above increases (General, COLA, Merit, Other) expressed as a percentage of payroll to be granted as increases during the year. The budget percentage is calculated by totaling the amount of general increases, cost-of-living increases, merit and other increases granted or scheduled to be granted in the year, and dividing the total salaries of all eligible employees whether or not they received a salary increase.

Variable pay: compensation that is contingent on discretion, performance or results achieved. It may be referred to as pay at risk.

## Need More Data for the United States or Canada?

Click on your avatar picture in right corner, then click on My Profile.
3
Under My Current Products \& Events, select "2020-2021 Salary Budget Survey."
4
Run unlimited reports, customized by:
a. Type of data
b. Statistic (e.g., mean, median, $25^{\text {th }} / 75^{\text {th }}$ percentile)
c. Industry
d. Number of employees
e. Revenue
f. Geographic region
g. State/province
h. Major metropolitan area

Simply view reports, "print to PDF" or "save to Excel."
6
Access participants lists and questionnaires.

See page 6 (Get Started Now) for step-by-step login and "Online Reporting Tool" access instructions.

For additional assistance with the "Online Reporting Tool," please contact our Customer Relationship Services team at 877-951-9191 (United States and Canada) or +1 480-922-2020 (other countries), or customerrelations@worldatwork.org.


[^0]:    * Data for companies that do budget for promotions were extracted from Figure 15 and recalculated to show breakdown within those 51\% of respondents. NOTE: See Figure 15 and 15 a for additional detail on data used

[^1]:    Note: U.S. CPI as reported by U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) for all urban consumers for 12 months ending June 2020. Average U.S. unemployment rate as reported by BLS for labor force 16 years and over for 12 months ending June 2020 (www.bls.gov.).

[^2]:    Note: "General Increase/COLA," "Merit" and "Other" do not add to the "Total Increase" because not every organization provides all three types of increase. The n's represent the number of responses for each type of increase, which may include multiple responses if each respondent reports for more than one employee category for that type of increase.
    *This data may represent a small sample size of fewer than 30 responses. Please refer to figure G1.

[^3]:    *This data may represent a small sample size of fewer than 30 responses. Please refer to figure G2.

